

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/825,541	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GIBSON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2619

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) WILLIAM M. LEE Jr.. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2008**Time:** 0900**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

60 and 71

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement between the Applicant and the Examiner was reached to correct a typo in claim 60 and addition of phrase -- executed by a computer-- right after "the computer program" in claim 70 line 5, via attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)